

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ORACLE CORPORATION, et al.,

No. C-07-01658 PJH (EDL)

12 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER FOLLOWING DISCOVERY
CONFERENCE**

13 v.

14 SAP AG, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/


17 On June 30, 2009, this Court held a discovery conference in this matter. As stated at the
18 hearing, the Court makes the following order:

- 19 1. The protective order in this case requires advance notice of a party's intent to use
20 confidential documents at a third party deposition. The parties dispute whether the advance
21 notice requirement applies to Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of third party corporations. As stated
22 at the hearing, with respect to Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of third party corporations, the
23 advance notice requirement can be met where the party offering the documents notifies the
24 opposing party that confidential or highly confidential documents will be used at the
25 deposition, but without disclosure of the specific documents, where the third party already
26 had prior knowledge of documents (e.g., sent or received them).
- 27 2. Further discovery conferences are scheduled for August 25, 2009, September 30, 2009 and
28 November 17, 2009 at 2:00 p.m. Joint discovery conference statements shall be filed no later

than one week before the discovery conference date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 1, 2009



ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
United States Magistrate Judge